

Bolinas Community Public Utility District

A Regular Meeting Of The Board Of Directors

June 20, 2018 270 Elm Road, Bolinas

Note: this meeting was held at the Bolinas Fire Protection District Public Meeting Room, 100 Mesa Road, Bolinas

1. Call to Order.

7:30 p.m.

2. Roll.

Directors Amoroso, Comstock, Godino (by telephone), Siedman and Smith present; director Siedman presiding.

3. Manager's Report.

-- Update on the Terrace Avenue Bluff Stabilization Project.

No update at this time.

-- Update on the East Tank Rehabilitation Project: 100% Design Submittal from Stetson Engineering; Authorize BCPUD Staff to Notice the Project for Bids.

Staff reported that this project was put out to bid on June 6, 2018. The district mailed the bid documents out to a planholder list of more than 50 contractors, published a notice about the bid solicitation twice in the Marin IJ, and posted it and all of the bid documents on the district's website. A mandatory pre-bid site meeting is scheduled for June 26, 2018 and the bid deadline is July 9, 2018.

-- Update on the Wastewater Pump Station Upgrade and Pond Recirculation Project.

BCPUD staff met with the district's engineers in early June to discuss and review a proposed layout for the upgraded pump station and staff is working on a recommendation to the Board in support of a pre-purchase of the station.

-- Update on the Fire Fuel Reduction Project in the Eucalyptus Grove above the Resource Recovery Center: See Item 14, below.

-- Update on BCPUD/BFPD Survey of Obstructions and Encroachments in the Public Rights-of-Way on the Bolinas Mesa: Staff Report and Draft Letter to Customers.

The staffs of the BCPUD and the Bolinas Fire Protection District ("BFPD") met on June 12, 2018 to develop a set of objective priorities for the removal of obstructions and encroachments in the public rights-of-way on the Bolinas Mesa. Per the staff report in the Board books, the objective is to protect the rights-of-way for public use and reduce the potential for a wildfire on the Mesa. Per state law, the BFPD cannot endorse anything less than a developed driveable road width of 20-feet, with 10 feet clear on either side of encroaching vegetation. Most of the public rights-of-way on the Bolinas Mesa are 40 feet in width per the subdivision map of the area, a select few are 60 feet in width. BFPD has designated specific roads as "first priority" roads to ensure they are fully accessible as these roads are within one or two blocks from nearly every developed property – these roads therefore are the primary egress and access roads in the event of a fire or other natural disaster. For the BCPUD, the priority is access to water system infrastructure (i.e., water mains, valves, hydrants, service lines and meters). BCPUD staff recommends that the encroachments be addressed in order of ease of removal, beginning with vegetation, then junk/debris piles, then trees, then fences, and finally structures. Staff further recommends that letters be sent to all residents informing them about the survey results, explaining why protecting the rights-of-way for public use is important for public health and safety, setting out the districts' priorities, and so forth. In response to a question from director Comstock, staff affirmed that a work plan will be prepared for presentation to the BCPUD and BFPD Boards and the community before any work is undertaken.

The Board reviewed the most recent water consumption data for the March/April/May 2018 quarter (back Mesa). Staff noted that consumption continues to rise and that this is the ninth month in a row of increased consumption, which is a worrisome trend in a year in which Bolinas received only 27.6 inches of rain (last year the district received over 50 inches of rain and annual average rainfall in Bolinas is 32 inches). The Summer issue of the Pipeline newsletter will include an article about the need to conserve water as well as a congratulatory note to long-term weekend shift operator Dennis Dierks on his retirement from the BCPUD at the end of May.

The BCPUD timely filed its annual report for 2017 with the State Water Resources Control Board ("SWRCB") on June 1st. During 2017, the BCPUD produced 32,560,112 gallons of water and

experienced an “unaccounted water loss” of about 9%. New sections of the annual report form this year included a section on climate change adaptation strategies and a section about lead service lines (note: the BCPUD has no lead service lines in its distribution system). Staff currently is working on the 2017 Consumer Confidence Report, which will be mailed out prior to the end of June.

The Board reviewed correspondence sent and received since the last regular Board meeting, including correspondence from the County about the upcoming election in November (three seats on the Board of Directors are up for election this year).

4. Public Hearing: Draft Fiscal Year 2018-19 District Budget.

Director Siedman noted that on May 2, 2018, a notice was sent out to all property owners and residents about the proposed \$64 increase in the water service charge and the proposed \$46 increase in sewer service charge. There were no comments from the public. Staff said that the district did not receive any written protests to the proposed increases, which largely are proposed to fund the district’s East Tank Rehabilitation project and the replacement of the irrigation pump station at the wastewater treatment ponds.

5. Community Expression.

None.

6. Request for Financial Relief from Quarterly Water Bill pursuant to BCPUD Resolution 553 – 8 Ocean Avenue (B. Barton).

Bill Barton said he is very conscientious about water use and has been for all of the 17 years he has lived in Bolinas. He explained that the leak occurred in an outdoor water line and there was no sign of it until he received his water bill. He immediately shut off the water to his outdoor plumbing and that resolved the issue; he is not exactly sure where the leak occurred but will keep the water shut off to the outdoor line. Director Smith said this appears to be a classic blind leak in that it was not detectable and the customer took immediate action to address the situation; in such cases, the Board usually grants complete financial relief.

V. Amoroso/L. Comstock all in favor to forgive all of the amount of the water bill attributable to the leak, based on the historic average water use during the same quarter over the past three years.

7. Request for Financial Relief from Quarterly Water Bill pursuant to BCPUD Resolution 553 – 537 Aspen Road (E. Kirkland).

Martin Kirkland referenced the letter submitted by his wife which details the water line repairs performed at their property. The water loss here occurred as a result of a leak on an underground water line during the rainy season, so they did not notice it and were unaware of the leak until they received their water bill. They immediately contacted a plumber and ultimately completely replaced the outdoor plumbing .

L. Comstock/D. Smith all in favor to forgive all of the amount of the water bill attributable to the water loss, based on the historic average water use during the same quarter over past three years.

8. Request for Financial Relief from Quarterly Water Bill pursuant to BCPUD Resolution 553 – 85 Olema Bolinas Road (Star Route Farms).

Nick Bassara explained that there was a toilet leak in the former Warren Weber house; there is no full-time resident in that house, so the leak was not detected for many months. Nick said it has now been repaired. Director Siedman said that the Board historically is not sympathetic to water losses attributable to a lack of people living at a house because property ownership does have responsibilities and if someone was at the property, the leak would have been discovered almost immediately. Director Smith said the Board usually grants relief for a maximum of 25% of the water loss attributable to the leak in a toilet leak situation.

V. Amoroso/D. Smith all in favor to forgive the amount of the water bill attributable to 25% of the water loss, based on the historic average water use during the same quarter over the past three years.

9. Request for Financial Relief from Quarterly Water Bill pursuant to BCPUD Resolution 553 – 41 Wharf Road (Smiley’s Saloon).

Leila Monroe explained that she received an enormous water bill during the winter and, over the course of several weeks, she hired different plumbers to identify the source of the leak. Ultimately it was discovered that an outdoor water line located under a large boulder had corroded and was leaking; water is off to this line, which will be removed and capped. Director Comstock said again, this appears to be a

classic “blind leak” and all efforts were made to find and fix it, so he recommends forgiving all of the water loss attributable to the leak. Director Amoroso said he seconded that recommendation. Director Smith noted that the leak likely also went into the next quarter and, if so, should also be forgiven. Director Comstock agreed and amended his motion to forgive all of the water loss attributable to the leak during both of the quarters in question.

L. Comstock/V. Amoroso all in favor to forgive all of the amount of the water bill attributable to the leak during the two quarters in question, based on the historic average water use during those same quarters over the past three years.

10. Expanded Water Use Permit Application: 430 Aspen Road (Bolin Community Land Trust)

Director Siedman explained that the district’s “expanded water use permit” process is triggered when a property owner (or their agent) applies to the County for a building or coastal permit. The Board will evaluate whether the proposed building project could result in increased water use and if it could, the Board places a limit, or cap, on the amount of water that can be used at the property. Arianne Dar appeared on behalf of the Bolinas Community Land Trust (“BCLT”) and explained that the BCLT is applying for an expanded water use permit in this case before applying for a building permit because the property (when under prior ownership) was “red tagged” by the County and the septic system has to be upgraded. In order to receive permission for an alternative type of septic system, the water use at the property needs to be restricted and monitored – as such, the expanded water use permit will satisfy this requirement and allow the BCLT to install the alternative septic system and proceed with legalizing the previously unpermitted work (which it will do pursuant to County building permits).

Director Siedman said that there appear to be many people present to speak about this agenda item and he underscored that the BCPUD does not have any authority over the merits of this or any proposed building project in Bolinas; rather, the BCPUD’s role is to provide water. The BCPUD will convey public comments made at its meetings to the County, but the BCPUD itself usually does not take a position with regard to proposed building projects. Arianne said that the BCLT plan for the project is to add a bathroom and bedroom to an existing studio on the property to create a second unit, and to leave the main house as it is (a one bedroom house, with an additional bedroom rental unit).

Janine Aroyan said that the normal process is for a project to go first to the County and then to the BCPUD; she inquired if the BCPUD has ever granted an expanded water use permit *before* a project goes to the County. Director Siedman said the district has taken action before a County building permit has been applied for in a few cases, usually via some sort of an advisory opinion. Janine asked if it would be possible for the BCPUD to give an advisory opinion now rather than issue an expanded water use permit at this time. Director Smith asked if the advisory letter previously provided by BCPUD staff explaining the likely outcome of an expanded water use permit decision (i.e., a maximum quarterly water limit of 2,700 cubic feet, or 225 gallons per day) would satisfy the County for purposes of issuing the septic system upgrade permit. Arianne said she did not know; all things considered, she said it would be better to have the water limit officially imposed.

Discussion ensued about granting a permit based on the BCLT’s representations about its building project rather than specific plans and specifications accompanying the permit application. Director Smith said the BCPUD could issue some sort of a conditional permit that could be withdrawn if the project is substantially different than BCLT staff is describing. Director Godino said she favors such a conditional permit in order for the BCLT’s septic upgrade to move forward. Director Comstock said the BCLT has a good reason as to why it is seeking the expanded water use permit prior to the building permit application. Arianne offered to bring in copies of the preliminary drawings for the project, if desired. After further discussion, director Siedman said there appears to be consensus on the Board to grant a conditional expanded water use permit.

V. Amoroso/L. Comstock all in favor to grant a conditional expanded water use permit for a project limited to three bedrooms and three bathrooms, as described by the BCLT, with a maximum quarterly water use of 2,700 cubic feet.

11. Request that BCPUD Board Reconsider Its Decision to Require the Relocation of Redwood Trees Planted in the Public Right-of-Way on Yucca Road near Zebra Road (E. McCrea).

Customer requested to defer this item to the July 2018 regular Board meeting.

12. County of Marin, Local Coastal Program Update – Land Use Policy C-PK-3 and Proposed Designation of a Commercial Core Area for Bolinas (K. Drumm, Senior Planner).

Kristin Drumm presented the public with maps and FAQ handout about the “commercial core” proposal, and noted that the materials relating to this policy and the Local Coastal Program (“LCP”) Update process generally also are available on the County’s website. She said the County has been working on its update of the LCP since 2008; it was submitted to the California Coastal Commission (“CCC”) in 2013 and the CCC staff made substantial modifications. Negotiations ensued during the next

several years and, as recently as April 24, 2018, the Marin County Board of Supervisors accepted Amendments 1, 2 and 6 as modified by the CCC staff (out of a packet of 7 Amendments). Kristin emphasized that the LCP is “the bible” for any development in the Coastal Zone and the County is guided by the Coastal Act when updating its LCP. The LCP consists of Land Use Policies (“LUP”) and corresponding Implementation Programs (“IP”). Under the current LCP (certified in 1981), commercial and residential uses are “principally permitted” in the VCR zoned area of downtown Bolinas. The CCC staff changed this in its modifications so that commercial uses are principally permitted but residential uses are “permitted”. Kristin noted that all of Bolinas is in the “appeal zone” because the CCC considers Highway 1 to be the public through road closest to the sea in Bolinas; as such, any and all decisions about projects in Bolinas made by the County per the LCP are appealable to the CCC, so the designation of a use as “principally permitted” or merely “permitted” does not make much difference in Bolinas.

Discussion then turned to the policy language of LUP C-PK-3 which purports to limit residential use to the upper floors of a building in the commercial core, or lower floors if not located on the road-facing side of the property. After several questions were asked revealing a high degree of confusion over what the policy would and would not allow, Kristin pointed to the language in the policy which allows continued residential uses other than in those locations as long as such use would “enhance the established character of the village commercial core area.” Ken Levin of the Point Reyes Station Village Association said that his group has similar concerns about the vague nature of this policy and questions whether the CCC has jurisdiction to micromanage the coastal villages in this manner (i.e., mandating what can or cannot be done on one floor or another of a building downtown). Matt Yerrington said he has testified against this policy at the Board of Supervisors, noting that his home is in the proposed commercial core and only has one floor – will future use of the property be limited to commercial use? Kristin said the improvement to existing residences will be exempt under clarifying language the County is working on for the IP (that will implement this policy and be codified in the Development Code).

Kristin said the commercial core policy was drafted to reflect the Coastal Act priority on “visitor-serving” uses. Ken Levin said it appears that the CCC focus now is more on “visitor-serving” uses than any of the other uses detailed in the Coastal Act, and he urged the coastal villages to resist this. Gail Reitano, the former Executive Director of the BCLT, agreed and said the CCC seems to be encouraging commercial development at the expense of historic village character. As discussion continued, residents encouraged Kristin Drumm to invite the CCC staff working on the County’s LCP to come out to Bolinas and other coastal communities to hear the views and concerns expressed. Further discussion focused on the meaning of “established character of the village” and who gets to decide what that means – the village itself, or the CCC? Kristin said she would really like to get input on whether the proposed “commercial core” designated on the draft map for Bolinas is appropriate.

Director Comstock said the CCC has a lot of power over coastal communities and the County essentially is an intermediary. The LCP is a key document; there are problems with the existing (1981) LCP, but some of the changes that the CCC is insisting upon with regard to the update of the LCP may be *more* problematic. The question is: do the benefits of the LCP Update outweigh the harm? It seems the Board of Supervisors decided that on net, the benefits of three of the seven Amendments in the LCP Update outweigh the harm posed by some of the unilateral changes insisted upon by CCC staff. Make no mistake, though, the CCC staff is tourist-oriented and want more people out at the coast; the County is trying to advocate for the coastal communities and needs community feedback. BCPUD staff noted that in the near future, the community will need to be highly engaged when the County and CCC staff take up the “Environmental Hazards” sections of the LCP Update as those sections have the potential to enormously impact coastal communities (in a very negative way).

Kristin thanked everyone for participating in the meeting and encouraged the community to stay engaged, send in questions and comments via email, sign up for email notifications about the LCP Update process. Supervisor Rodoni said that the CCC staff originally wanted the “commercial core” to be the entire VCR area in each of the coastal communities, not a small sub-area as now agreed, so this was a compromise “win” for the local communities, in a way. He noted the CCC staff also previously said they would not certify portions of the LCP Update, it would be all or nothing – but the CCC staff then relented and certified three of the seven amendments. “We are making progress”, he said; he underscored that the County really needs an updated LCP and cannot continue to regulate via a 1981 document. Finally, he underscored that the “Environmental Hazards” sections of the LCP will be very, very important and a tough battle lies ahead. Ultimately, Supervisor Rodoni said the County need to get the LCP Update approved and certified, and the County then can make modifications and refinements, but not until it has a certified update in place.

13. Supervisor Rodoni’s Response to the Recommendations of BCPUD’s Vehicle Habitation Committee.

Supervisor Rodoni thanked the BCPUD Board for inviting him and thanked everyone who came to discuss this topic. First, he said it is very, very important to understand and be clear that the County will *not* tolerate unlawful activity on County roads. Complaints about illegal activity should be reported directly to the Sheriff’s Office and such reported activity will be pursued and investigated. That said, Supervisor Rodoni urged everyone present to separate the topic of unlawful activity from the topic of

parking regulation. The BCPUD's Vehicle Habitation Committee made some excellent recommendations, said Supervisor Rodoni. He met with County staff responsible for parking enforcement to respond to the committee's recommendations and enforcement has been ramped up since the beginning of the year. With regard to the committee's recommendation to enforce the 72-hour parking limitation and/or tow away large vehicles, Supervisor Rodoni acknowledged it is very difficult to enforce the 72-hour rule given limited enforcement staff and the County does not have the financial resources to implement a robust towing program in Bolinas. Supervisor Rodoni said that the Committee's recommendation about developing a "safe parking" area for folks living in their vehicles is commendable, but also not an option for the County to pursue. A safe parking zone was tried in Sonoma County and was a "disaster"; the County needs to focus its efforts on providing *homes* for people, said Supervisor Rodoni.

Supervisor Rodoni said he would like feedback on his alternative proposal (submitted in a letter to the BCPUD Board on May 17, 2018) to prohibit overnight parking on one side of Brighton and Park Avenues between 11:00 p.m. and 5:00 a.m., with resident-permit parking allowed on the other side of those streets during the same hours. West Marin Commander James Hickey explained that his deputies conducted a survey over three weeks and confirmed that no one who lives in Bolinas was ever parked on the west side of Brighton Avenue during this period; he also said a no parking zone is very easy to enforce, which is important in West Marin where there are only two deputies for the entire area. He noted that Sheriff Doyle has fought hard to maintain the 24/7 deputy coverage for West Marin against a recent effort to reduce deputy hours to 8:00 a.m. – 5:00 p.m. and urged community members to "stay vocal" and support local law enforcement.

Ananda Brady said Supervisor Rodoni's proposed no overnight parking zone seems aimed unfairly at people living in their vehicles. Supervisor Rodoni said the County has made tremendous efforts to assist the homeless and urged people living in their vehicles to come to the County for assistance. The County is prioritizing "chronic homelessness" and has allocated \$20 million for this purpose. Arianne Dar said she knows the Sonoma County "safe parking" area did not work, but she said that there are long-term Bolinas residents living in their cars who also work in town, and it is not a viable solution for them to live over the hill if that is where the County's housing options are located.

Al Minvielle said he is a Brighton Avenue resident. Four years ago, he said, trailers and taco trucks started to appear on downtown streets, essentially turning Brighton Avenue into an informal junk storage area. This past Memorial Day, Al said he counted 35 vehicles parked for many days/weeks (or longer) on Brighton Avenue. He said lots of those long-term parkers are surfers who come live for the weekends (or longer), others are folks living semi-permanently in their vehicles and others are storage containers. Al believes the situation has worsened considerably in the last year and he fully supports Supervisor Rodoni's proposal for a no overnight parking zone on one side of Brighton Avenue. Matt Yerrington said that he knows a lot of the guys living in their vehicles, they are his friends and he wants them to be able to stay. However, there are an increasing number of "shady" folks that stay two to three weeks at a time. He thinks the no overnight parking proposal is reasonable given the numbers of people coming in to live on the streets in downtown Bolinas. Nancy Zacher described clean-up efforts she has participated in at St. Aiden's Church on Brighton Avenue to remove garbage, condoms, human excrement, etc. left by people living on the street; the church also has removed bar-b-ques and other hazards.

Director Smith inquired about the residential permit overnight zones proposed by Supervisor Rodoni for the other side of Brighton and Park Avenues and the supervisor explained that the permits must be legally enforceable and cannot be preferentially distributed. Commander Hickey noted that in Kentfield, the permits for off-street resident parking are distributed only for vehicles actually registered to the adjacent property. Leila Monroe said she served on one of the BCPUD downtown parking committees and that the Supervisor's proposal seems a reasonable place to start, maybe as a pilot project with then an opportunity to assess the efficacy of the approach. Discussion then turned to a possible advisory measure on the November 2018 ballot to better gauge the weight of community opinion about the regulation of parking in downtown Bolinas.

Al Minvielle expressed concern about putting the question to a community vote. He pointed out that the County's enabling ordinance is designed so that residents of specific streets can decide how they want their streets managed. Why should the entire town vote on this question, he asked? Supervisor Rodoni said that the practical answer is because Bolinas is in the Coastal Zone and parking downtown affects the entire community. Eleanor Lyman asked why the Vehicle Habitation Committee's recommendations are not simply put into effect. Supervisor Rodoni said that some of the recommendations, while commendable, have legal problems or would take many years to implement – his proposal can be implemented in a much more stream-lined manner given the existence of the enabling ordinance.

Director Comstock noted that many people present have been through similar situations (of unwanted long-term parkers) in other parts of the Bay Area. In the 1970's, he lived in Berkeley and his neighborhood wanted to be compassionate and not throw out folks living on the streets. He personally even built a "free box" so that neighbors could donate food and clothing. It worked, for a while; then, the scale became overwhelming as word spread that his neighborhood was tolerant. Eventually, he had to tear down the free box that he had built. Today, in Bolinas, the "internet is killing us" and we need to

take steps to do something about the parking situation downtown because the town is being overwhelmed with visitors. Cristina Di Grazia agreed and said that she and her children do not feel safe in downtown Bolinas anymore; she said she wants the situation cleaned up and she wants enforcement.

At the conclusion of the discussion, the Board agreed to work with the County on developing a potential advisory measure to place on the November 2018 ballot to obtain the community's input/vote on whether and how to regulate parking on Brighton, Park, and Wharf Roads in downtown Bolinas.

Director Godino departed the meeting at this time.

14. Consideration of Bids Submitted for the Bolinas Eucalyptus Grove Hazardous Fuels Reduction Project; CEQA Notice of Exemption, Guidelines Section 15268.

The Board reviewed a staff report about the bids submitted for the Eucalyptus Grove Hazardous Fuel Reduction. The district received only one bid and it did not comply in material respects with the bid requirements; most importantly, it was conditioned on the use of mechanized equipment. Staff met with the submitting contractor to explore whether this and other defects in the bid could be "cured", but the contractor and staff concluded that they could not be cured. Accordingly, given the public safety objectives of the project and the critical need for hazardous fuels reduction in the eucalyptus grove, staff recommends that the Board reject the bid pursuant to California Government Code Section 20206.1 and authorize staff to "do any necessary and proper work and make any necessary and proper expenditures in lieu of contracting for the performance of such work."

V. Amoroso/D. Smith four in favor, director Godino absent to reject the one bid submitted for this project and authorize staff to do any necessary and proper work and make any necessary and proper expenditures in lieu of contracting for the performance of the work.

Staff requested the Board's approval of a CEQA Notice of Exemption pursuant to Guidelines Section 15268, which is based on the report prepared by the district's consulting biologist that the project as proposed does not pose any adverse impact to any special-status plants or wildlife species.

D. Smith/V. Amoroso four in favor, director Godino absent finding that the Eucalyptus Grove Hazardous Fuels Reduction Project ("the Project") is Categorically Exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), based on CEQA Guidelines, Section 15268

15. Water Supply Update.

Director Smith reported that conductivity levels from samples taken at the irrigation well dropped in May and have remained low even though irrigation is on-going. He attended the most recent meeting of the Mesa Park Board and explained the interrelationship between pumping levels, ground water table and conductivity, using the latter as a proxy for possible seawater intrusion into the aquifer. He urged Mesa Park to be frugal with irrigation, especially during the Summer and Fall months.

16. Volunteer Committee Reports.

-- *Bolinas Lagoon Advisory Committee*: Nothing to report.

-- *Downtown Parking & Traffic Committee*: Nothing to report.

-- *Resource Recovery*: Nothing to report.

-- *West Marin Mosquito Control Coordinating Council*: Nothing to report.

-- *Land Stewardship Committee*: Nothing to report.

-- *Internet Access Committee*: Nothing further to report.

--*Vehicle Habitation*: Nothing further to report.

17. Other Business.

a. Board Committee Reports.

-- *Finance: Engagement Letter from Doran & Associates for FY 2017-2018.*

L. Comstock/V. Amoroso four in favor, director Godino absent to approve the engagement letter from Doran & Associates for FY 2017-18 audit services.

-- *Legal*: Nothing to report.

-- *Mesa Septic, Flood Control and Roads*: Director Comstock suggested that this committee (himself and director Amoroso) work with BCPUD and BFPD to prepare a work plan for how the districts will proceed with its effort to protect the public rights-of-way on the Bolinas Mesa from obstructions and encroachments.

-- *Operations*: Nothing to report.

-- *Park and Recreation*: Nothing to report.

-- *Personnel*: Nothing to report.

-- *Sewer*: Nothing to report.

b. Minutes of the May 16, 2018 regular Board meeting.

Director Smith offered clarifying revisions to the draft minutes of the May 16, 2018 regular Board meeting.

D. Smith/V. Amoroso four in favor, director Godino absent to approve the minutes of the May 16, 2018 regular meeting, as clarified.

c. Warrants.

D. Smith/L. Comstock four in favor, director Godino absent to approve the warrant list.

d. Scheduling of Next Meeting(s):

A potential special meeting to discuss an advisory measure for the November 2018 ballot will be scheduled for either June 27, 2018 or June 28, 2018. The next regular meeting of the Board will be held on July 18, 2018 beginning at 7:30 p.m.

18. Adjournment.

11:19 p.m.